

PROPOSED FINDINGS OF VIOLATIONS

to the

President of the University of Oregon

1.

:

a.

b.

c.

d.

]

e.

PROPOSED FINDINGS OF VIOLATIONS

Case No. M365

Page No. 2

f.

2. [NCAA Bylaws 13.14.3 (2009-10 NCAA Division I Manual), 13.14.3-(a) (2010-11 NCAA Division I Manual) and 13.14.3-(c) (2010-11 NCAA Division I Manual)]

It is agreed that from 2008 through 2011, the football program paid for subscriptions to at least three recruiting or scouting services to NCAA legislation. Specifically:

- a. In 2008 and 2009, the football program paid \$6,500 and \$10,000, respectively, for a subscription to Elite Scouting Services (ESS) and received oral reports from ESS representatives Charles Fishbein and Will Lyles. [NCAA Bylaw 13.14.3 (2009-10 NCAA Division I Manual)]
- b. In 2009, the football program paid \$3,745 for a subscription to New Level Athletics (NLA) and received oral reports from NLA representative Baron Flenory. [NCAA Bylaw 13.14.3 (2009-10 NCAA Division I Manual)]
- c. In 2010, the football program paid \$25,000 for a subscription to Complete Scouting Services (CSS) and received oral reports from CSS representative Lyles. Additionally, the football program failed to gather recruiting or scouting information from CSS at least four times per calendar year, as required by NCAA recruiting or scouting legislation. [NCAA Bylaws 13.14.3, 13.14.3-(a) and 13.14.3-(c) (2010-11 NCAA Division I Manual)]

3.

a.

b.

PROPOSED FINDINGS OF VIOLATIONS

Case No. M365

Page No. 3

c.

4.

a.

b.

c.

5. [NCAA Bylaw 11.7.2]

It is agreed that from 2009 through 2011, the institution's football program exceeded the permissible limit on the number of coaches by one when

engaged in recruiting activities as outlined in Finding Nos. 1-a, 1-b, 1-f
and 4-a.

6.

PROPOSED FINDINGS OF VIOLATIONS

Case No. M365

Page No. 4

7. [NCAA Bylaw 2.8.1]

It is agreed that from 2008 through 2011, the scope and nature of the violations set forth in Finding Nos. 2, 3-c and 4 demonstrate that the athletics department failed to adequately monitor (1) the football program's use of recruiting or scouting services;

pecifically:

- a. Regarding the recruiting or scouting services, the athletics department failed to establish policies and procedures to monitor the football program's use of recruiting or scouting services. Additionally, athletics administrators with responsibilities in the football program failed to monitor the information provided by recruiting or scouting services to ensure compliance with recruiting or scouting service legislation. This collective failure partly resulted in the violations outlined in Finding No. 2.
- b.
- c.

PROPOSED FINDINGS OF VIOLATIONS

to the

President of the University of Oregon
{Revised (# 2) Draft for discussion purposes}.

1.

a.

b.

c.

]

d.

e.

2. [NCAA Bylaws 13.14.3 (2009-10 NCAA Division I Manual), 13.14.3-(a) (2010-11 NCAA Division I Manual) and 13.14.3-(c) (2010-11 NCAA Division I Manual)]

It is agreed that from 2008 through 2011, the football program paid for subscriptions to at least three recruiting or scouting services **that did not conform** to NCAA legislation. Specifically:

- a. In 2008 and 2009, the football program paid \$6,500 and \$10,000, respectively, for a subscription to Elite Scouting Services (ESS) and received oral reports from ESS representatives Charles Fishbein and Will Lyles. [NCAA Bylaw 13.14.3 (2009-10 NCAA Division I Manual)]
- b. In 2009, the football program paid \$3,745 for a subscription to New Level Athletics (NLA) and received oral reports from NLA representative Baron Flenory. [NCAA Bylaw 13.14.3 (2009-10 NCAA Division I Manual)]
- c. In 2010, the football program paid \$25,000 for a subscription to Complete Scouting Services (CSS) and received oral reports from CSS representative Lyles. Additionally, **CSS did not disseminate to** the football program recruiting or scouting information at least four times per calendar year, as required by NCAA legislation. [NCAA Bylaws 13.14.3 and 13.14.3-(c) (2010-11 NCAA Division I Manual)]

3.

a.

b.

c.

4.

a.

b.

c.

5. [NCAA Bylaw 11.7.2]

It is agreed that from 2009 through 2011, the institution's football program exceeded the permissible limit on the number of coaches by one

engaged in recruiting activities as outlined in Finding Nos. 1-a, 1-b, 1-e and 4-a.

6.

7. [NCAA Bylaw 2.8.1]

It is agreed that from 2008 through 2011, the scope and nature of the violations set forth in Finding Nos. 2, 3-c and 4 demonstrate that the athletics department failed to adequately monitor (1) the football program's use of recruiting or scouting services;

Specifically:

- a. Regarding the recruiting or scouting services, the athletics department failed to establish policies and procedures to monitor the football program's use of recruiting or scouting services. Additionally, athletics administrators with responsibilities in the football program failed to monitor the information provided by recruiting or scouting services to ensure compliance with recruiting or scouting service legislation. This collective failure partly resulted in the violations outlined in Finding No. 2.
- b.
- c.